



Notes from SCU review of San Ramon Valley
RPC Item 3.0

- 2 ½ hour process that involved looking through manuals, looking up local, county and public works policies
- The SCU unit does not have subscription to IFC or CFC manuals
- The process would have taken much longer without applicants assistance (Takes time to locate and read through to ensure the proposed ordinance matches or exceeds current policy or code)
- Review covered two items:
 1. To review the SRVFD Proposed Ordinance to ensure it met all the current policies and codes (either meets or exceeds).
 2. To review the proposed spreadsheet and make suggestions for additions or deletions.
- Electronic spreadsheet
 - Has a description of the Section and made it easier to compare the information.
 - Spreadsheet seemed to work fine but it might be nice to add a few columns.
 - The column that states "2012 _____ Fire Code" should be labeled 2009.
 - Instead of an all-encompassing column of "2009 _____ Fire Code" suggest adding, "IFC" "CFC" "Local Fire Department" "County" "Public Works".
 - There might be an "other" that would be good to add in case there are other policies/codes that apply in special districts that did not apply in SRVFD.
 - One item that needs clarification. Section 1273.04. From discussion with SRVFD, it sounds as though this section is proposed to be changed by the BOF in the future? It currently reads as follows:

(a) No roadway shall have a horizontal inside radius or curvature



BOARD OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION

of less than 50 feet and additional surface width of 4 feet shall be added to curves of 50-100 feet radius; 2 feet to those from 100-200 feet.

- All other items on the spreadsheet seem to be fine and were easy to use.

#2 SRVFD's ordinance was reviewed in its entirety.

- Section 1273.04, SRVFD does not meet this section. As discussed above, is the intent of the BOF to reduce this from 50 to something less?
- 1274.01 use of wording differs. Title 14 uses the word "reflective", SRVFD uses the word, "shall be readily visible at night". We interpret this as the same.
- 1274.02 visible in both directions for 100' is listed in Title 14, however this is not defined in feet in the Contra Costa or the SRVFD codes but does speak of visibility.
- 1274.03 – 1274.08 All of these sections are not in SRVFD local fire code. They live with County Public Works. We are working on trying to get their exact wording to complete the review. We hope to have this information to you soon. We have received a diagram from public works that addresses these issues, and it appears to support the referenced sections, but we are still awaiting the verbiage to confirm.
- With the exceptions of the listed sections above, SRVFD meets or exceeds the remainder of the sections reviewed.

Shana Jones & Rob VanWormer